of the statistical	24 applied to these t	23 are statistical an	22 A When tested	21 Q They are not	20 A That's right,	19 and no ethanol under	18 Q So you could	17 greater at .05.	16 different on stati	15 ethanol. But the	14 the no ethanol one	13 A Based upon t	12 shelf-life?	11 asking you which o	10 Q Ethanol versus	9 A You are asking	8 Q Okay, the f:	7 A There's two	6 Q Yes.	5 A At 45 degrees	4 shelf-life, is that	Q Which at 45	2 A That is cor	
significance, tells you there is no	two figures, the P value, which is a measure	analyses that you can carry out. When	to see if they are different or not, there	different statistically?	, they are not different statistically.	er B; is that correct?	not draw a conclusion between the ethanol		statistical analysis because the P value is	P value tells me they are not significantly	e is lower than the rate constant for the	the rate constant the rate constant for		one of those would have the greater	sus no ethanol, item B, 45 degrees, I'm	ing me to compare	first one.	45 degrees.		es in Table 1.1?	at with ethanol or that without ethanol?	degrees in Table 1.1, which had the greater	correct.	

WALLS REPORTING, INC.
714 PARK AVENUE, BALTIMORE, MD 21201
410-728-9020 FAX 410-728-9024

that

we talked about before.

9

of

N

Ø

about

under

CS

significant

difference

O significant? And does the P value indicate they are statistically

166

the

A

different

The A qualifier It does 18 indicate that is the they are outlier statistically result from significant the data

12 0 shelf-life Well, okay. based upon the data Which of those that two 18 has presented the greater there?

18 A based the outlier upon the Well, the one that would have data here 18 the no ethanol. the longest But shelf-life again that

0 That 18 the outlier?

A That 18 the outlier at 45 degrees

O It's statistically significant, isn't it?

A It

19

18

17

16

15

14

20

0 Can you explain to me how something that 18

statistically significant becomes an outlier?

A N at all the have been through this different rate constants before. for the ethanol If you look in Table

SP88/026, studies there the figure 18 one which is 18 226.6 and different, that 18 and that clearly is the different

25

24

23

22

714 PARK AVENUE, 410-728-9020 WALLS REPORTING, BALTIMORE, MD 21201 FAX 410-728-9024 INC.

G028334

25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9							
that were reviewed?	ethanol formulae that were reviewed or the ethanol formulae	mean rates would indicate the greater stability, the no	for the UK test, under 45 degrees centigrade which of the	Q Table 1.2, under 45 degrees centigrade, this is still	A It is for the reasons I gave.	outlier; is that correct?	statistically significant is the one that you considered the	Q So the data in Table 1.1 which was considered the most	A Yes.	Q That is your definition of an outlier analysis?	include it.	the value from program SP88/026 but it's not possible if you	that it is possible to pool the data as long as you exclude	paragraph, it talks about a chi-square test and it says there	A It's under the, Y009645 under UK ingredients, first	(Pause in the proceedings.)	8 Q Sure.	7 A Bear with me for a time.	Q Yes, the outlier analysis.	A Where the analysis occurred?	Q Can you show me where that occurred in this report?	2 an outlier and the statistician tested for that and showed it	1 from the figures above, and that indicates to me that it is

WALLS REPORTING, INC.
714 PARK AVENUE, BALTIMORE, MD 21201
410-728-9020 FAX 410-728-9024

25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	œ	7	0	u	4	w	2	_
exhibit we were looking at?	Q And does that table reflect Table 2.3 from the previous	A Yes.	Q Do you see a table at the bottom?	A Okay.	declaration.	Q Page 3. It's the second from the last page of your	A Okay.	Q Yes.	A My declaration?	you there?	also in your documents there, which is Plaintiffs' 250. Are	Q Okay. If you will turn to your declaration, which is	all to one side.	degrees and submit it in my declaration, I decided to put it	unrepresentative. Rather than cherry pick the data at 30	A Having reviewed it, I believed it was unreliable and	ahead, briefly, Doctor.	THE COURT: This is the last time, Mr. Rubin. Go	MR. LEWIN: We've had it generally. I object.	Q Why did you not include the UK data, just generally?	provided.	A I believed that I was going to validate the data I	Q You were not told the reason for your deposition?	A No.

WALLS REPORTING, INC.
714 PARK AVENUE, BALTIMORE, MD 21201
410-728-9020 FAX 410-728-9024